

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PAGE OF APPROVAL</b> .....	i
<b>STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP</b> .....	ii
<b>PREFACE</b> .....	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	iv
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	v
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	vi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	ix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xi

### CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Questions .....	4
1.3 Aims of the Study.....	5
1.4 Significance of Study .....	5
1.5 Research Methodology .....	6
1.6 Clarification of Key Terms .....	7
1.7 Organization of Paper .....	8

### CHAPTER II: THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 News Discourse in Its Definitions .....	10
2.1.1 Difference between Discourse and Text.....	10
2.1.2 News as Part of Media Discourse .....	11
2.2 Critical Discourse Analysis: The In-depth Analysis of Discourse.....	13
2.3 Principles of CDA: Discourse as Social Practices .....	15
2.4 Discourse as Recontextualization of Social Practices.....	17
2.5 Representing Social Actions.....	20

**Arini Rusyda, 2014**

*A SOCIAL ACTION ANALYSIS OF NEWS ON 2011 WAR IN LIBYA: REPRESENTATION OF THE US ALLIANCES ON ONLINE USA TODAY AND THE TRIPOLI POST*

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

2.6 News Discourse as the Analytical Field of Van Leeuwen's CDA.....	24
2.7 Current Studies on CDA.....	26

### **CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Formulation of Problems.....	30
3.2 Research Design.....	30
3.3 Data Collection.....	31
3.4 Data Analysis.....	32

### **CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1 Findings.....	37
4.1.1 Social Actors Represented on USA Today and Tripoli Post.....	37
4.1.2 Actions and Reactions.....	38
4.1.2.1 Material and Semiotic Actions.....	40
4.1.2.2 Transactive and Nontransactive Material and Semiotic Actions.....	43
4.1.2.3 Transactive Instrumental and Interactive Action.....	45
4.1.3. Reactions: Cognitive, Affective and Perceptive.....	47
4.1.3.1 Affective Reactions.....	48
4.1.3.2 Perceptive Reactions.....	49
4.1.3.3 Cognitive Reactions.....	49
4.1.4 Transformations on Action and Reaction.....	50
4.1.4.1 Deactivation: Objectivation and Descriptivization.....	50
4.1.4.2 De-agentialization: Eventuation, Existentialization, and Naturalization.....	53
4.1.4.3 Abstraction: Generalization and Distillation.....	55
4.1.4.4 Overdetermination: Symbolization and Inversion.....	57
4.1.5 Social Actions Attributed to US Alliances.....	60
4.1.5.1 Social Actions Attributed to US Alliances on USA Today.....	60
4.1.5.2 Social Actions Attributed to US Alliances on Tripoli Post.....	63

**Arini Rusyda, 2014**

*A SOCIAL ACTION ANALYSIS OF NEWS ON 2011 WAR IN LIBYA: REPRESENTATION OF THE US ALLIANCES ON ONLINE USA TODAY AND THE TRIPOLI POST*

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

4.2 Discussions .....	69
4.2.1 Social Actors on online USA Today and Tripoli Post from Viewpoints of Social Actions .....	70
4.2.2 The Distribution of the US Alliance's Social Actions and Their Transformations .....	73
4.2.3 The Tendency of Objectivation and Abstraction on US Alliances .....	74
4.2.4 Media Discursive Strategy through Representation of US Alliances on USA Today and Tripoli Post: <i>Legalization on War</i> .....	75

## **CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

5.1 Conclusions .....	76
5.2 Suggestions .....	78

<b>REFERENCES</b>	79
-------------------	----

## **APPENDICES**

Arini Rusyda, 2014

A SOCIAL ACTION ANALYSIS OF NEWS ON 2011 WAR IN LIBYA: REPRESENTATION OF THE US ALLIANCES ON ONLINE USA TODAY AND THE TRIPOLI POST

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

## LIST OF TABLES

1. Table 2.1 Elements of Social Practices.....	19
2. Table 3.3 The Initial Analysis .....	36
3. Table 4.1 Source articles taken from online USA Today.....	38
4. Table 4.2 Source articles taken from online Tripoli Post.....	38
5. Table 4.3 Actions and reactions on USA Today's articles.....	39
6. Table 4.4 Actions and reactions on Tripoli Post's articles.....	39
7. Table 4.5 Materializations and Semioticizations on USA Today's articles.....	41
8. Table 4.6 Materializations and Semioticizations on Tripoli Post's articles.....	41
9. Table 4.7 Transactive and Nontransactive Actions on USA Today's articles.....	43
10. Table 4.8 Transactive and Nontransactive Actions on Tripoli Post's articles.....	43
11. Table 4.9 Transactive Instrumental and Interactive Actions on USA Today's articles.....	45
12. Table 4.10 Transactive Instrumental and Interactive Actions on USA Today's articles.....	46
13. Table 4.11 Affective Reactions on USA Today and Tripoli Post's articles.....	48
14. Table 4.12 Perceptive Reactions on USA Today and Tripoli Post's articles.....	49
15. Table 4.13 Cognitive Reactions on USA Today and Tripoli Post's articles.....	49
16. Table 4.14 Objectivations and Descriptivizations	

**Arini Rusyda, 2014**

*A SOCIAL ACTION ANALYSIS OF NEWS ON 2011 WAR IN LIBYA: REPRESENTATION OF THE US ALLIANCES ON ONLINE USA TODAY AND THE TRIPOLI POST*

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

on USA Today and Tripoli Post's articles.....	51
17. Table 4.15 Deagentializations on USA Today and Tripoli Post.....	55
18. Table 4.16 Generalizations and Distillations	
on USA Today and Tripoli Post.....	56
19. Table 4.17 Symbolizations and Inversions	
on USA Today and Tripoli Post.....	58
20. Table 4.18 Reactions Embedded to the US Alliances	
on USA Today.....	61
21. Table 4.19 Materializations and Semioticizations	
Embedded to the US Alliances on USA Today.....	61
22. Table 4.20 Transactive and Nontransactive Actions	
Embedded to the US Alliances on USA Today.....	62
23. Table 4.21 Transactive Actions Represented to the	
US Alliances' Social Actions on USA Today.....	62
24. Table 4.22 Reactions Embedded to the US Alliances	
on Tripoli Post.....	64
25. Table 4.23 Actions Embedded to the US Alliances	
on Tripoli Post.....	64
26. Table 4.24 Transactive and Nontransactive Actions	
Embedded to the US Alliances on Tripoli Post.....	65
27. Table 4.25 Transactive Actions Embedded to the	
US Alliances on Tripoli Post.....	65
28. Table 4.26 Deactivation Represented to the US Alliances'	
Social Actions.....	66
29. Table 4.27 Deagentialization Represented to the US Alliances'	
Social Actions.....	68
30. Table 4.28 Abstraction Represented to the US Alliances'	
Social Actions.....	68
31. Table 4.29 Overdetermination Represented to the US Alliances'	
Social Actions.....	69

## LIST OF FIGURES

1. Figure 2.1 Influence of discourse on practice.....	17
2. Figure 2.2 Social Actions Network.....	21
3. Figure 3.1 Selected research corpus.....	32
4. Figure 3.2 Detailed scheme on data analysis.....	34
5. Figure 4.1 Objectivation and its forms.....	51
6. Figure 4.2 Constitutions of an action that can be abstracted through generalization.....	55
7. Figure 4.3 Types of Social Action and Their Transformations on USA Today and Tripoli Post.....	59